

Comments 05/12/04

Jim Baker - 157 Dogwood

Apartment seem to be kept at a minimum. Please keep apartment to a minimum if at all.

Mary VonTunglen

Does not want any traffic/land use to overburden Burney Road

None of the mixed-use categories are really warranted

Should be single family primarily

Redevelopment and historical preservation for Imperial Sugar etc

Don Jones – 1314 Vickery; Ragus Lakes HOA President

Do not want to see the multi-family uses within their area

Burney Road would be adversely impacted

Commercial/Industrial preferred along State Highway 6

More green space

Hillary Goldstein – 3327 DewPoint

Area 6 – propose keeping Area 6 single family

Mike Casey claimed HUD Section 8 is going to develop on Area 6 shortly

Falsehood issue

Ethics of Council appointments – why are members of P & Z paid consultants for campaigns?

Craig Brooks – Sugar Mill HOA

HOA is suggesting a land use plan scenario – greenspace/passive park maximize

Implementation of some type of riverwalk along Oyster Creek

If areas are designated as multi family then should be lowest density

Steve Alper

Agrees with comments made by Craig Brooks

Single family homes in Tract 3

Al Abramchek – Venetian Estates

Tract 3

Definitions of Mixed Use Residential

Mixed Use Residential – change name to be more specific

Specify no commercial

No live/work townhomes

Bridget Yeung – Venetian Estates

Riverwalk type concept along Oyster Creek

Jeanett Britton – Sugar Mill

Do not care for apartments

The needs in the Parks & Recreation Plan could be considered
Land in Areas 4&5 could be used for those needs, such as an Aquatics Center
Agrees with Oyster Creek riverwalk and Gannoway Lakes as a center point for a
park/trail

Don Jones

Public input concept that be put together